#### Today's Lecture

• DAG structure

• Dynamic programming to find highest weight paths in WDAGs

#### Structure of DAGs

- Define the *depth* of a node *v* in *V* as: – the length of the longest path ending at *v*; by above, the depth is well-defined and  $\leq |V|$  - 1.
- *Every descendant w of a node v has higher depth than v*: If
	- $(u, ..., v)$  is path of length  $n = \text{depth}(v)$  ending at  $v$ , and

$$
-(v, ..., w)
$$
 is path from v to w,

then  $(u, ..., v, ..., w)$  is a path of length  $> n$  ending at *w*, so depth $(w) > n$ .

# Structure of DAGs (cont'd)

- *Every node v of positive depth has a parent of depth exactly one less*:
	- $-$  Let  $(u, ..., v', v)$  be path of length  $n =$  depth $(v)$  ending at  $v$ .
	- Then *v'* is a parent of *v*.
	- $-$  Since  $(u, ..., v')$  has length  $n-1$ , depth $(v') \geq n-1$ .
	- Since also depth(*v'*) < *n* (because *v* is a descendant of *v'*), depth( $\nu$ ) is exactly  $n-1$ .
- *The nodes on any path are of increasing depth*.

# Structure of DAGs (cont'd)



.

.

.

#### Important special cases:

- A *(rooted)* tree is a DAG which
	- has unique depth 0 node (the *root*), *and*
	- every other node has in-degree 1
		- (i.e. has a unique parent, of depth one less than that of the node).
- A *binary tree* is a tree in which
	- every node has out-degree at most 2.
- A *linked list* is a tree in which
	- every node has out-degree at most 1
	- or equivalently, a DAG in which  $\exists$  at most one node of each depth

#### binary tree

#### linked list





## Remarks on Depth Structure

- For *dynamic programming* algorithm
	- $-$  we need an order  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ , ...,  $v_n$  for the vertices
		- (not a path!)

in which parents appear before children.

– From the above, *depth order*

• (in which depth 0 nodes are listed first, then depth 1 nodes, etc.) is such an order.

- In general there are many other such orders.
- We haven't given constructive procedure for finding the depths of all vertices.
	- For an arbitrary DAG, can be done in  $O(|V| + |E|)$  time;
	- we omit algorithm, since for DAGs related to sequence analysis, the depth structure is obvious.

# Weighted Directed Graphs

- A *weighted directed graph* is
	- a directed graph (*V*, *E*) together with
	- a function *w* from *E* to the real numbers,
		- i.e. with a numerical *weight w*(*e*) (which may be positive, negative, or 0) associated to each edge *e*.
	- A weighted DAG is called a WDAG.
- The (*sum*) *weight of a path* is defined to be the sum of the weights on the edges of the path.
- Similarly, the *product weight of a path* is the product of the edge weights
	- usually only consider this when all weights are non-negative.
- weight of a path P is written  $w(P)$
- For a path of length 0 (i.e. consisting of a single vertex):
	- the sum weight is  $\theta$
	- the product weight is 1

# Highest Weight Paths on WDAGs

- *Problem:* find a path with the highest possible weight.
- *Solution*:
	- "Brute force" approach
		- i.e. simply enumerating all possible paths and comparing their weights
		- is usually impractical (too many paths!)
	- Instead, use the method of *dynamic programming* ('The Fundamental Algorithm of Computational Biology').

# Highest Weight Paths on WDAGs (cont'd)

- Let  $P_n = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  be a path of highest weight.
- Then for each  $k < n$ , the sub-path  $P_k = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k)$ must have highest weight of all paths ending at *v<sup>k</sup>* , because
	- $-$  *if*  $Q = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, v_k)$  were another path ending at  $v_k$  and having higher weight than  $P_k$ ,
	- *then* the path  $(Q, v_{k+1}, ..., v_n)$  would have weight  $w((Q, v_{k+1}, ..., v_n)) = w(Q) + w((v_k, ..., v_n))$  $> w(P_k) + w((v_k, ..., v_n)) = w(P_n),$

contradicting assumption that *Pn* has highest weight.

# Subpaths of a highest-weight path can't be improved:



#### Highest Weight Paths on WDAGs (cont'd)

- So generalize the problem as follows:
- find, for *each* vertex *v*, the highest weight of all paths ending at  $v$  – call this  $w(v)$
- Can find *w*(*v*) in single pass through *V*, as follows:
	- process the *v* in depth order (*or any order in which parents precede children*)
	- if *v* has no parents,  $w(v) = 0$  (the only path ending at *v* is (*v*)).
	- for any other *v*, except for the path (*v*) (which has weight 0), any path ending at *v* is of form  $(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k, u, v)$ . Then
	- *u* is a parent of *v*, so *w*(*u*) has already been computed, and  $w((v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k, u, v)) \leq w(u) + w((u, v))$ with equality for an appropriate choice of *v<sup>i</sup>* .
	- Therefore we may compute  $w(v)$  as

$$
w(v) = \max(0, \max_{u \in parents(v)} (w(u) + w((u,v))))
$$

#### Example



# $w(v)$  – depth 0 nodes



# $w(v)$  – depth 1 nodes



## $w(v)$  – depth 2 nodes



# $w(v)$  – depth 3 nodes



# $w(v)$  – depth 4 nodes



#### Highest Weight Paths on WDAGs (cont'd)

• To reconstruct best path, need "traceback" pointer to immediate predecessor of *v* in best path:

$$
T(v) = \begin{cases} v & w(v) = 0 \\ \arg \max_{u \in \text{parents}(v)} (w(u) + w((u,v)) & w(v) \neq 0 \end{cases}
$$

- in preceding graph, *T*(*v*) is the *parent* on *red edge* coming into *v*
	- if more than one such edge, pick one at random;
	- if no such edge,  $T(v) = v$
- Sometimes useful to record *beginning* of best path:

$$
B(v) = \begin{cases} v & w(v) = 0 \\ B(T(v)) & w(v) \neq 0 \end{cases}
$$

#### Highest Weight Paths on WDAGs (cont'd)

• Then highest weight of any path in graph is

 $\max_{v \in V} (w(v))$ 

- updated as each node is visited
	- indicated by  $\vert \quad \vert$  in preceding graph –

and so doesn't require additional pass through vertices

- if  $u = \argmax_{v \in V} (w(v))$ , can reconstruct highest weight path by tracing back from *u*, using *T*:
	- path ends at *u*;
	- immediate predecessor of *u* is *T*(*u*);
	- predecessor of  $T(u)$  is  $T(T(u))$ ; etc.
	- $-$  stop when  $T(v) = v$ .
- In preceding example, highest weight is 6 and  $u = v_{11}$

# Dynamic programming on WDAGs



#### Complexity of Dynamic Programming

- Time to find a best path is  $O(|E|+|V|)$ :
	- in initial pass, visit each node, and each edge into that node: *O*(*|E|+*|*V*|)
	- in traceback, visit subset of nodes, and unique edge from each node: *O*(|*V*|)
	- (Complexity to find *all* highest weight paths can be higher)
	- For very large graphs, even  $O(|E|+|V|)$  may be unacceptable!

# Complexity Analysis (cont'd)

- Space requirements:
	- If only want *weight* of best path, and beginning and end, then
		- don't need *T*(*v*), and
		- $-$  only need retain  $w(v)$  and  $B(v)$  until have processed all children of *v* (or when best path found so far ends at *v*).

Space depends on graph structure, but usually  $<< O(|V|)$ .

- $-$  If want path itself, must store  $T(v) \forall v$ 
	- $-$  space  $= O(|V|)$
	- $\exists$  algorithms (for some graphs) to reduce this, but may take more time.