

Lecture 2

- Probability models for sequences
- Failure of equal frequency & independence assumptions
- Neutralist vs selectionist interpretations

Biology involves *probabilities*,
at several levels:

- Fundamental laws of nature
- Mutations (imperfect replication)
- Transmission of DNA from parent to offspring in populations of individuals
- Random aspects of environment

Key Physical Laws Governing Living Organisms

- Individual atoms & molecules:
 - quantum mechanics / quantum electrodynamics
- Systems of molecules:
 - statistical mechanics / 2d law of thermodynamics

These fundamental laws are essentially probabilistic!

“The true logic of this world is in the calculus of probabilities”
– James Clerk Maxwell

“I cannot believe that God plays dice with the cosmos” –
Albert Einstein; nonetheless two of his three great 1905 papers dealt with statistical aspects of nature (photoelectric effect & Brownian motion)!

Probability Models of Sequences

- Sample questions in genome sequence analysis:
 - Is this sequence a splice site?
 - Is this sequence part of the coding region of a gene?
 - Are these two sequences evolutionarily related?
 - Does this sequence show evidence of selection?
- Computational analysis can't answer:
 - only generates *hypotheses*
which must ultimately be tested by experiment.
- *But* hypotheses should
 - have some reasonable chance of being correct, and
 - carry indication of reliability.

- We use *probability models* of sequences to address such questions.
- Not the only approach, but usually the most powerful, because
 - seqs are products of evolutionary process which is *itself* probabilistic
 - want to detect biological “signal” against “noise” of background sequence or mutations.

- “*All models are wrong; some models are useful.*”
– George Box
- “*What is simple is always wrong. What is not is unusable.*” – Paul Valery
- “*Everything should be made as simple as possible, but not simpler.*” – Albert Einstein (?)

Basic Probability Theory Concepts

- A *sample space* S is set of all possible outcomes of a conceptual, repeatable experiment.
 - $|S| < \infty$ in most of our examples.
 - e.g. S = all possible sequences of a given length.
- Elements of S are called *sample points*.
 - e.g. a particular seq = outcome of “experiment” of extracting seq of specified type from a genome.
- A *probability distribution* P on S assigns non-neg real number $P(s)$ to each $s \in S$, such that
$$\sum_{s \in S} P(s) = 1$$
(So $0 \leq P(s) \leq 1 \quad \forall s$)
 - Intuitively, $P(s)$ = fraction of times one would get s as result of the expt, if repeated many times.

- A *probability space* (S,P) is a sample space S with a prob dist'n P on S .
- Prob dist'n on S is sometimes called a *probability model* for S , particularly if several dist'ns are being considered.
 - Write models as M_1, M_2 , probabilities as $P(s | M_1), P(s | M_2)$.
 - e.g.
 - M_1 = prob dist'n for splice site seqs,
 - M_2 = prob dist'n for “background” (arbitrary genomic) seqs.

- An *event* E is a criterion that is true or false for each $s \in S$.
 - defines a subset of S (sometimes also denoted E).
 - $P(E)$ is defined to be $\sum_{s|E \text{ is true}} P(s)$.
- Events E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n are *mutually exclusive* if no two of them are true for the same point;
 - then $P(E_1 \text{ or } E_2 \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } E_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} P(E_i)$.
- If E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n are also *exhaustive*, i.e. every s in S satisfies E_i for some i , then $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} P(E_i) = 1$.

- For events E and H , the *conditional probability* of E given H , is

$$P(E | H) \equiv P(E \text{ and } H) / P(H)$$

(= prob that both E and H are true, given H is true)

– undefined if $P(H) = 0$.

- E and H are (*statistically*) *independent* if

$$P(E) = P(E | H)$$

(i.e. prob. E is true doesn't depend on whether H is true);

or equivalently

$$P(E \text{ and } H) = P(E)P(H).$$

Probabilities on Sequences

- Let S = space of DNA or protein sequences of length n .
Possible assumptions for assigning probabilities to S :
 - *Equal frequency assumption*: All residues are equally probable at any position;
 - $P(E_r^{(i)}) = P(E_q^{(i)})$ for any two residues r and q ,
 - where $E_r^{(i)}$ means residue r occurs at position i , then
 - Since for fixed i the $E_r^{(i)}$ are mutually exclusive and exhaustive,
$$P(E_r^{(i)}) = 1 / |A|$$
where A = residue alphabet
$$P(E_r^{(i)}) = 1/20 \text{ for proteins, } 1/4 \text{ for DNA.}$$
 - *Independence assumption*: whether or not a residue occurs at a given position is independent of residues at other positions.

- Given above assumptions, the probability of the sequence

$$s = ACGCG$$

(in the space S of all length 5 sequences) is calculated by considering 5 events:

- Event 1 is that first nuc is A. Probability = .25.
- Event 2 is that 2^d nuc is C. Probability = .25.
- Event 3 is that 3^d nuc is G. Probability = .25.
- Event 4 is that 4th nuc is C. Probability = .25.
- Event 5 is that 5th nuc is G. Probability = .25.

By independence assumption, prob of all 5 events occurring is the product $(.25)^5 = 1/1024$.

Since s is the only sequence satisfying all 5 conditions, $P(s) = 1/1024$.

- More generally, under equal freq and indep assumptions,
 - prob of nuc sequence of length $n = .25^n$,
 - prob of protein sequence of length $n = .05^n$in the space S of length n sequences.

Failure of Equal Frequency Assumption for (Real) DNA

- For most organisms, the nucleotide composition is significantly different from .25 for each nucleotide, e.g.:
 - *H. influenza* .31 A, .19 C, .19 G, .31 T
 - *P. aeruginosa* .17 A, .33 C, .33 G, .17 T
 - *M. janaschii* .34 A, .16 C, .16 G, .34 T
 - *S. cerevisiae* .31 A, .19 C, .19 G, .31 T
 - *C. elegans* .32 A, .18 C, .18 G, .32 T
 - *H. sapiens* .29 A, .21 C, .21 G, .29 T

- Note approximate symmetry: $A \cong T$, $C \cong G$,
 - even though we're counting nucs on just one strand.
 - Expect *exact* equality when counting both strands
- Explanation:
 - Although individual biological features may have non-symmetric composition (local *asymmetry*),
 - usually features are distributed approx *randomly* w.r.t. strand,
 - so local asymmetries *cancel*, yielding overall symmetry.

General Hypotheses Regarding Unequal Frequency

- **Neutralist** hypothesis: *mutation bias*
 - e.g. due to nucleotide pool composition
- **Selectionist** hypothesis: *selection*
 - selection on (many) particular nucleotides
 - selection on mutational bias mechanisms
 - ...

Failure of independence assumption

Nucleotide Freqs (*C. elegans* chr. 1):

A 4575132 (.321) ; C 2559048 (.179) ; G 2555862 (.179) ; T 4582688 (.321)

dinucleotide frequencies (5' nuc to left, 3' nuc at top - e.g. obs freq of ApC is .047): (Note "symmetry"!))

	Observed				Expected (under independence)			
	A	C	G	T	A	C	G	T
A	0.135	0.047	0.051	0.088	0.103	0.057	0.057	0.103
C	0.061	0.035	0.033	0.051	0.057	0.032	0.032	0.058
G	0.063	0.034	0.034	0.047	0.057	0.032	0.032	0.057
T	0.061	0.064	0.061	0.135	0.103	0.058	0.057	0.103

	Observed / Expected			
	A	C	G	T
A	1.314	0.818	0.885	0.853
C	1.055	1.075	1.031	0.886
G	1.106	1.062	1.074	0.818
T	0.597	1.105	1.056	1.313

Conditional probability (in *C. elegans*) of a given nucleotide (top) occurring, given the preceding nucleotide (left)

	A	C	G	T
A	0.421	0.147	0.159	0.274
C	0.338	0.193	0.185	0.284
G	0.355	0.190	0.192	0.263
T	0.191	0.198	0.189	0.421

Deviations From Expectation

- Underrepresentation of *TpA*: found in nearly all genomes;
 - reason unknown:
 - neutral (mutation patterns)?
 - selection?
- Overrepresentation of *ApA*, *TpT*, *CpC*, *GpG* – also frequently observed in other organisms.
- Unlike mammalian genomes, no underrepresentation of *CpG*
 - *CpG* not methylated in *C. elegans* (or most other non-vertebrates).

Dinucleotide Freqs – *H. sapiens* Chr.21

Nucleotide Freqs:

A 10032226 0.297; T 9962530 0.295

G 6908202 0.204; C 6921020 0.205

Entropy: 1.976 bits

Observed Dinuc Freqs

Expected (*under independence*)

	A	C	G	T	A	C	G	T
A	0.099	0.051	0.069	0.078	0.088	0.061	0.061	0.087
C	0.073	0.052	0.011	0.069	0.061	0.042	0.042	0.060
G	0.059	0.043	0.052	0.050	0.061	0.042	0.042	0.060
T	0.066	0.059	0.072	0.098	0.087	0.060	0.060	0.087

Observed / Expected

	A	C	G	T
A	1.124	0.839	1.139	0.891
C	1.204	1.243	0.260	1.139
G	0.974	1.025	1.245	0.839
T	0.752	0.976	1.204	1.125

Dinucleotide Freqs – *H. sapiens* Chr.22

Nucleotide Freqs:

A 8745910 0.261; T 8720493 0.261

G 7999585 0.239; C 7997931 0.239

Entropy: 1.999 bits

Observed Dinuc Freqs

Expected (*under independence*)

	A	C	G	T		A	C	G	T
A	0.077	0.051	0.075	0.058		0.068	0.062	0.062	0.068
C	0.077	0.071	0.016	0.075		0.062	0.057	0.057	0.062
G	0.061	0.057	0.071	0.051		0.062	0.057	0.057	0.062
T	0.047	0.061	0.077	0.076		0.068	0.062	0.062	0.068

Observed / Expected

	A	C	G	T
A	1.125	0.817	1.205	0.855
C	1.233	1.236	0.285	1.206
G	0.975	0.989	1.237	0.818
T	0.684	0.977	1.233	1.124